## **Center Independent School District**

## **Center Elementary School**

## 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



## **Mission Statement**

Center ISD recognizes that each student has individual needs and that all students are diverse learners. As a results, the mission of Center ISD is to challenge each student to reach his or her intellectual creative and physical potential by providing a fully integrated curriculum and rigorous instruction. In doing so, Center ISD will provide a nurturing learning environment that empowers all stakeholders to become confident, creative designers of their future and will provide opportunities for collaboration to develop respect for individual differences and community values.

## Vision

Center ISD believes in providing a safe and nurturing environment which promotes high academic achievement, whole child development, and a feeling of self-worth through which each student can pursue individual success in life.

## Value Statement

WE BELIEVE that with proper instruction and support, all students will meet or exceed learning expectations.

WE BELIEVE adult learning is a lifelong commitment essential to student success.

WE BELIEVE diversity should be respected, appreciated and valued in order to strengthen learning for all.

WE BELIEVE everyone is accountable and responsible for the success of every student.

WE BELIEVE active leadership is essential and everyone's responsibility.

WE BELIEVE meaningful change will be necessary to ensure all students succeed.

WE BELIEVE all parts of a system must work together for our vision to become a reality.

### **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Needs Assessment Overview	4
Demographics	5
School Culture and Climate	6
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	7
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	8
Parent and Community Engagement	9
School Context and Organization	10
Technology	11
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: Improve literacy across all grade levels.	16
Goal 2: Academic achievement of all students in all content areas will increase.	19
Goal 3: Promote the engagement of parents and community members to build relationships.	24
Goal 4: Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental and emotional well-being of all staff and students.	28
State Compensatory	33
Budget for Center Elementary School	34
Personnel for Center Elementary School	34
Title I Personnel	35
Campus Funding Summary	36

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

### **Needs Assessment Overview**

Needs Assessment Overview Summary

A campus needs assessment was conducted at the beginning of the 2021-2022 school year.

Thirteen questions were asked. These were to be rated on a scale of 1 (Strongly Disagree) to a 5 (Strongly Agree.) Fifty eight responses resulted in the following ratings:

Overall campus results were as follows:

Our school is a strong and inviting place for students to learn. 4.83

Our school promotes academic success for all students. 4.78

Our school sets high standards for academic performance for all students. 4.64

Our school emphasizes helping students academically when they need it. 4.81

Our teachers provide challenging instruction. 4.59

Our school promotes personnel participation in decision-making that affects school practices and policies. 4.03

Our school handles discipline problems consistently. 3.78

Our school values communication and routinely engages with parents. 4.35

Our school promotes literacy at school and in the community. 4.76

Our school is a supportive and inviting place for staff to work. 4.32 Staff development provided by our school supports/enhances t3eaching in the classroom. 4.22 Staff have the opportunity to attend staff development that is relevant to the individual. 4.35 Interventions are in place to assist students who demonstrate the need for additional support. 4.68

### **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

The Demographics of Center Elementary School are diverse.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Teachers are required to be ESL certified.

New comers receive targeted, small group instruction by a bilingual teacher.

We have increased the number of staff who are bilingual.

We actively recruit and seek out Bilingual teachers by attending job fairs.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** As our student population changes our instruction will need to change in order to address individual student needs and to accommodate the diverse cultures represented in our district. **Root Cause:** This will include: Employing staff to facilitate communication with parents and staff development in effective instruction for diverse learners. Actively recruit staff that are representative of our student population (Hiring of more African-American and Hispanic teachers and increasing the number of male teachers on campus.

Problem Statement 2: Continuing to seek more effective ways to communicate with and involve our parents (specifically our Spanish and Burmese speaking parents, and our economically disadvantaged parents).

### **School Culture and Climate**

#### School Culture and Climate Strengths

Our campus is very student-centered.

Academic Teaming - has contributed to an increase in staff collaboration, planning, support and teamwork. This culture has greatly contributed to overall student success.

School-Wide Discipline Plan - Decreased number of out of class placements, which increased learning. The use of positive reinforcement (Incentive Days) helps motivate students to act better and work harder.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: We need to incorporate more opportunities for parents to volunteer to help with school activities.

Problem Statement 2: Develop a better system to communicate the positive things going on at CES as well as upcoming events.

### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

CES strives to recruit highly qualified teachers, many of which are from our local community. We attend job fairs and make every attempt to recruit the best candidates possible. We also have many instructional aides that are currently working towards their teacher certification. We want to grow and retain teachers on our campus. CES provides new teacher orientation for teachers to acclimate them to the district and the campus. CES assigns mentors for those teachers new to the district. Mentor staff received training in the summer prior to becoming an official mentor.

#### Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

**Problem Statement 1:** We will work to provide more support and mentoring for new teachers as well as providing more opportunities for staff to participate in on-campus and offcampus staff development.

Problem Statement 2: With the changing demographics of our community, CES needs to recruit more bilingual and minority teachers.

### Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

#### Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- Academic Teaming
- Instructional support
- Planning time, teaming time and scheduled weekly data meetings
- Small groups
- Flexibility in scheduling
- MAP Reading
- Teacher input on assessments eases student anxiety and makes assessing fair and equitable

#### Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1: Reading and writing (specifically our SPED, AA and ELL students) is an area in which we are targeting campus-wide in all subjects.

### Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

#### Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1: CES needs to increase family and community involvement at all levels and with all ethnic groups. We struggle with getting involvement from the community and parents.

### **School Context and Organization**

#### School Context and Organization Summary

CES has implemented processes, structures and staff leadership opportunities to focus on continually improving the quality of teaching and learning.

District/Campus goals are communicated through campus meetings. We will use DMAC data, State assessment data and walkthrough data to monitor the progress of goals. Goals will be evaluated multiple times throughout the year through administrative and staff meetings and documented within the framework of the Campus Plan.

#### School Context and Organization Strengths

- Academic Teaming
- Departmentalization
- Reading Enrichment
- Administrator and Teacher Leadership
- Flexibility in scheduling
- Maximization of learning time

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1: Continue refining Academic Teaming and RtI processes.

### Technology

•

**Technology Summary** 

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1: More in-depth training with time to create/setup technology along with follow up support needs to be increased.

**Priority Problem Statements** 

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

• Dyslexia data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- · Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

#### **Parent/Community Data**

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

## Goals

**Goal 1:** Improve literacy across all grade levels.

Performance Objective 1: At least 75% of students in grades 3-5 will pass or show progress on the STAAR Reading assessment.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: CBAs, MAP, state assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: CES will utilize TEKSRS scope and sequence with fidelity and use McGraw Hill curriculum as a supplemental		Formative		Summative	
resource.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in STARR passing percentages or STAAR growth points.			_		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators,					
Classroom Instructors, Director of Instruction and RtI					
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: TEKSRS / McGraw Hill Reading Curriculum - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$2,629					
- \$2,029					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Students will be provided with at least 90 minutes of reading instruction daily. Supporting staff will go into		Formative		Summative	
each reading classroom to provide assistance for small group instruction.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance on classroom assignments,					
CBA, MAP, DRA, TELPAS, and STAAR results.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals					
Reading Specialist Director of Instruction and RtI					
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
- LSF Levers:		1			
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: CES will provide a 45 minute campus-wide intervention built into the regular school day to enable teachers to	Formative			Summative	
target specific student needs and provide enrichment/extension activities.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved student performance on classroom assignments, CBA, MAP, DRA, TELPAS, and STAAR results.					
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals, Director of Instruction and RtI					
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b>					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Intensive reading intervention will be provided for Tier 3 readers with the Reading Specialist.	Formative			Summative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved student performance on classroom assignments, CBA, MAP, DRA, TELPAS, and STAAR results	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, AP, Reading Specialist, ESL Teacher Instructional Staff					
Director of Instruction and RtI					
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Reading Specialists - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$127,811, ESL Teacher - 255, ESEA Title II, Part A-Teacher & Principal Tra - \$4,600, Rosetta Stone - 263-Title III - \$3,500					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: RLA vertical alignment meetings will be held every nine weeks to maintain systemic instructional initiatives.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in CBA and state assessment date	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Staff,					
Reading Specialist,					
Director of Instruction and RtI					
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction resulting in higher academic achievement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administrators, Director of Instruction and RtI,					
Director of Special Programs					
TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Academic Content Coop, Region 7 - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$6,679, Administrative Leadership Coop - 255, ESEA Title II, Part A-Teacher & Principal Tra - \$680					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 7: RLA meetings will be held at a minimum of twice per six weeks to evaluate student data to adjust instruction,		Formative		Summative	
tutoring, acceleration, and intervention.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in CBA and state assessment date			L.		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators,					
Instructional Staff,					
Reading Specialist,					
Director of Instruction and RtI					
Curriculum Coordinator					
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: DMAC, TEKScore, TAG, State Assessment, Lead4ward - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$1,245					

Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Campus will utilize Accelerated Reader program to encourage students to read more. Students will keep a		Formative	tive Summa	
running total of the number of words they have read, with the goal being one million words. Students will be rewarded as they reach each milestone in their reading goals.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance in reading				
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administration Campus Librarian Library Instructional Aide				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	

Performance Objective 1: The academic achievement gap among student groups will close by 3% as indicated on the "Closing the Gap" domain.

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA, state assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Monitor student data from all assessments to narrow the achievement gaps between student groups by adjusting	Formative			Summative
instruction and providing interventions to meet the needs of individual students. <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Close the gap between student groups	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close the gap between student gloups</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Staff, Counselor, Director of Instruction and RtI, Director Special Programs</li> <li>TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math         <ul> <li>ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Administration will meet weekly with instructional staff to discuss unit planning designs, student learning		Formative		Summative
targets, and learner-centered instructional strategies. <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Student focused unit planning guides and learning targets.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Utilization of research based instructional strategies. <b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administration, Instructional Staff,				
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Instructors will enhance usage of multiple online platforms to support, extend and enhance learning		Formative		Summative	
opportunities including Learning Odyssey, McGraw-Hill Online, Google Classroom, iRead, and System 44, Flocabulary, Discovery Education, Education Galaxy, BrainPop and StemScopes.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students have access to a wide variety of learning content through various platforms.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration,         Instructional Staff,         Counselor,         Computer Lab         Paraprofessionals					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Der	views		
Strategy 4 Details			lews		
Strategy 4: All students in grades 4 and 5 who earned "Did Not Meet" on their Reading or Math STAAR test from previous year will receive a minimum of 30 hours of enrichment in reading.		Formative	1	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close gaps to allow students to obtain current grade level standards. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Specialist	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Campus Administration					
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

#### Performance Objective 2: At least 75% of fifth-grade students will pass the STAAR science assessment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Improved student performance as evidenced in CBA and STAAR Science data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Instructors will focus on questioning and feedback (both written and oral) as it relates to effective instruction.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walkthroughs, lesson plans, student assessment scores/grades, Team Planning Agendas, IDP Meeting notes.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals					
ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 2: Data meetings held every 4 weeks to disaggregate data, develop plans for spiraling of information,		Formative	_	Summative	
incorporating problem solving and higher-level thinking to positively impact instruction in the classroom.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting agendas, walk-throughs, student achievement data</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning</li> </ul>					
Image: Model of the second	X Discon	tinue	1		

Goal 2: Academic achievement of all students in all content areas will increase.

**Performance Objective 3:** All students will pass or show at least one year's growth in math by passing STAAR math assessment or showing sufficient growth on the MAP test.

Evaluation Data Sources: Improved student performance on local assessments, Path Blazers Data, MAP Results, and STAAR results.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will provide ninety minutes of math instruction, including guided math, daily.		Formative	tive Summa	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance of classroom assignments, CBA, MAP, and STAAR data	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Utilization of guided math rotations in the classroom as a primary driver of the instructional/learning structure.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved assessment data, individual student growth, walkthroughs, lesson plans	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Staff, Paraprofessionals				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement including		Formative		Summative
Lead4Ward Training and Sheltered Instruction	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction resulting in higher academic achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Director of Instruction and RtI Director of Special Programs, Director of Special Services				
<ul> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math</li> <li>- ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Funding Sources: Region 7 Contracted Services - 263-Title III - \$1,686</li> </ul>				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	-1
Strategy 4: Team meetings will be held at a minimum of twice each nine weeks to evaluate student data to adjust		Formative		Summative
instruction, tutoring, acceleration, and intervention. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in CBA and state assessment date	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Stategy's Expected Result Impact: Improvement in CDA and state assessment date         Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators,         Instructional Staff,         Reading Specialist,         Director of Instruction and RtI         TEA Priorities:         Build a foundation of reading and math         - ESF Levers:         Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective         Instruction				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Promote the engagement of parents and community members to build relationships.

Performance Objective 1: Provide opportunities for parents to engage in the school.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in sheets, parent feedback

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Host an after school, "Reading Night" and a "STEM Night" to provide families with an opportunity to		Formative		Summative
experience what their children are learning in school. Sometimes refreshments will be provided to encourage participation and attendance.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets/agendas				
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administrators, Instructors				
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Supplies - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$1,000				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide parents a quarterly "Parental and Family Engagement" newsletter on the campus web page and in local	al <b>Formative</b>	Formative Summat	Summative	
news affiliates in English and Spanish.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent engagement specifically for EL students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
Director of Special Programs				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Funding Sources: Parent Liaison - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$8,379				
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Utilize social media platforms to communicate with parents and community.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and community engagement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
ESF Levers:				
		1	1	1

	Reviews		
Formative Su		Summative	
Dec	Feb	Apr	June
X Discor			
-		Formative	Formative       Dec     Feb     Apr

Goal 3: Promote the engagement of parents and community members to build relationships.

**Performance Objective 2:** Promote a partnership between the school and the community.

Evaluation Data Sources: Participation in community events, Sign-in sheets when community members participate in campus activities

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Partner with community members to provide additional support for students such as: coats, school supplies and	Formative			Summative	
<ul> <li>shoes.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance. Increase in student attendance.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselor Instructors</li> <li>ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			-	
Strategy 2: Include community leaders to speak with student groups, including Big Brothers Club on a monthly basis. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased involvement between community and school Enhanced school experience for students	Dec	Formative Feb	Apr	Summative June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Veterans Day program will include opportunities for local veterans to participate.	Formative Sum		Summative		
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased community and school involvement</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Students will participate in Operation Gratitude activities, supporting First Responders and military personnel.		Summative		
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased community and school involvement</li> <li>Student increased awareness</li> <li>ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>		Feb	Apr	June
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		I

Goal 4: Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental and emotional well-being of all staff and students.

Performance Objective 1: CES students and staff will receive ongoing health and wellness services.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of discipline referrals, nurse referrals, attendance rate, staff retention rate

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide additional nutritional support through the Back Pack For Kids Program based upon students' needs.		Formative		
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance.</li> <li>Increase in student attendance.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators</li> <li>Counselor</li> <li>Instructors</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Healthy life skills will be taught though Physical Education program.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Increase in student attendance.				
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administrators, Physical Education Instructor				
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Funding Sources: Region 7 Contracted Services, Health, Nurses, and PE - 000 Example Fund Source - Title 4, 289 - \$300				

Strategy 3 Details Reviews			iews	
Strategy 3: All new instructors will work within a teaming structure that provides daily mentoring support with peers and		Formative		Summative
weekly support with curriculum coordinator	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved campus morale			1	
Improved staff attendance				
Decreased number of teacher turnover.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators,				
Instructional Mentors				
Curriculum coordinator				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Funding Sources: Staffing - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$34,522				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: New teachers will participate in a First Year Teacher's Academy with designated Mentor.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved staff retention rate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Improved instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Director of Instruction				
Instructional Mentors				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress $(100\%)$ Accomplished $\rightarrow$ Continue/Modify	X Discor	Intinue	1	

Goal 4: Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental and emotional well-being of all staff and students.

#### Performance Objective 2: Students and staff will be provided a safe and positive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of discipline referrals, nurse referrals, attendance rate staff retention rate

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Host incentive days for positive reinforcement of good behavior and academic effort.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing numbers of students that are eligible for participation, decreased number of discipline referrals, higher campus morale.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Utilization a campus wide discipline management system to increase academic performance in all student		Formative		
groups. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased numbers of discipline referrals, higher student achievement scores in all measurable areas.		Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Counselor				
Instructors				
Paraprofessionals				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Counselor will provide career and college preparatory experiences for students through the use of a career	Formative Sum			Summative
nterest inventory and providing career awareness.		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness Completed career inventories.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Counselor				
Instructors				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: All faculty and staff will receive updated training over the campus and district Emergency Operations Plan.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased safety and security of campus Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Police		Feb	Apr	June
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: All students will receive lessons in the Six Essential Traits for healthy lifestyle choices. Resources provided	Formative S			Summative
<ul> <li>through ADAC.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased motivation and decreased bullying and behavior issues Increased positive choices</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselor</li> <li>ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture</li> </ul>		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Big Brother Club established to provide outlet to male students to promote making good choices and having a		Formative	1	Summative
positive outlook.         Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased motivation         Decreased behavior issues         Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators         ESF Levers:         Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Dec	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 7: C.H.A.M.P.S. method will be utilized in the classroom to ensure clear expectations are provided.				Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased student behavior issues Increased instructional time on task				June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers					
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy					
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	1	

## **State Compensatory**

### **Budget for Center Elementary School**

Total SCE Funds: \$0.00 Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 16.251 Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

### **Personnel for Center Elementary School**

Name	Position	FTE
Alvarado, Jazmin	Teacher	0.1
Arcibar, Jessica	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Arcibar, Roxanna	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Betancourt, Luz	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Bird, Penny	Teacher	0.13
Burns, Holly	Teacher	0.13
Burns, Macy	Teacher	0.09
Cassell, Solomon	Teacher	0.09
Crouch, Tiffany	Teacher	0.13
Davis, Mary	Teacher	0.42
Denby, Brandi	Teacher	0.093
Doggett, Colleen	Teacher	0.9
Dupree, Cameryn	Teacher	0.093
Garcia Perez, Jocelyn	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Garcia, Yecenia	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Hayes, Joan	Teacher	0.13
Horton. Angela	Teacher	0.093
Jamar, Stephanie	Teacher	0.094
Jordan, Jessica	Instructional Paraprofessional	1

Name	Position	FTE
Lopez, Mayra	Teacher	0.13
Love, Monique	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Lusk, Lee Ann	Teacher	0.085
Moody, Linda	Teacher	0.13
Moore, Suzanne	Teacher	0.12
Morales, Angelica	Teacher	0.094
Munoz, Melissa	Teacher	0.13
Murray, Alisha	Teacher	0.13
Nichols, Jenny	Teacher	0.093
Norris, Deborah	Instructional ISS Paraprofessional	1
Olalde, Griselda	Teacher	0.13
Orear, Ciara	Teacher	0.14
Preston, Karen	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Rangel Grande, Jonatan	Teacher	0.093
Sandoval, Merlid	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Suell, Christasha	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Tomlin, Rani	Teacher	0.093
Torres, Brandi	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Wheeler, Shelby	Teacher	0.13
Wilkes, Marissa	Teacher	0.13
Wyrick, Terena	Teacher	0.13

## **Title I Personnel**

Name	Position	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Bownds, Gail	Reading Speciallist	Title 1	1
Hernandez, Diana	RTI Clerk Instructional Paraprofessional	Title 1	1
Ramirez, Lucerito	Instructional Paraprofessional	Title 1	1

# **Campus Funding Summary**

			000 Example Fund Source	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
4	1	2	Region 7 Contracted Services, Health, Nurses, and PE         Title 4, 289	\$300.00
			Sub-1	<b>`otal</b> \$300.00
			211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs	·
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	TEKSRS / McGraw Hill Reading Curriculum	\$2,629.00
1	1	4	Reading Specialists	\$127,811.00
1	1	6	Academic Content Coop, Region 7	\$6,679.00
1	1	7	DMAC, TEKScore, TAG, State Assessment, Lead4ward	\$1,245.00
3	1	1	Supplies	\$1,000.00
3	1	2	Parent Liaison	\$8,379.00
4	1	3	Staffing	\$34,522.00
			Sub-Total	\$182,265.00
			255, ESEA Title II, Part A-Teacher & Principal Tra	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	4	ESL Teacher	\$4,600.00
1	1	6	Administrative Leadership Coop	\$680.00
			Sub-To	tal \$5,280.00
			263-Title III	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Instructional Aide/Support	\$19,942.00
1	1	4	Rosetta Stone	\$3,500.00
2	3	3	Region 7 Contracted Services	\$1,686.00
		•	Sub-Tota	l \$25,128.00